

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

FRIDAY, THE 1ST JUNE 2007 / 11TH JYAISHTA 1929

WA.No. 2405 of 2005

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.33499/2004 Dated 26/11/2004
.....

APPELLANT:

HERALK WILSON, S/O.C.HENRY,
MADASSERY HOUSE, NEAR HOLY CROSS CONVENT,
MUNNAR.

BY ADV. SRI.K.RAMAKUMAR

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS

-
1. P.K.RAJENDRAN, S/O.LATE P.M.KOCHUKUNHU,
PUTHENVEEDU, VILANGANNUR, PEECHI, THRISSUR,
WORKING AS DRIVER, KERALA FOREST RESEARCH INSTI-
TUTE, PEECHI.
 2. E.O.MATHAI, S/O.LATE E.V.OUSEPH,
EDAPPARA HOUSE,
Q.NO.G.18/1, K E R I QUARTERS, PEECHI,
THRISSUR, WORKING AS DRIVER, KERALA FOREST
RESEARCH INSTITUTE, PEECHI.
 3. KERALA FOREST RESEARCH INSTITUTE,
PEECHI, THRISSUR DISTRICT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR.
 4. THE REGISTRAR,
KERALA FOREST RESEARCH INSTITUTE,
PEECHI, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

R1 and R2 BY ADV. SRI.M.SASINDRAN
SRI.TOJAN J.VATHIKULAM
SC FOR FOREST RESEARCH INSTITUTE
R3 AND R4 BY ADV. SRI.S.M.PREM
SMT.K.P.SANTHI

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 01/06/2007 THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.

Writ Appeal No 2405 of 2005

Dated: 1st June 2007

JUDGMENT

Radhakrishnan, J.

We fail to see how the appellant is aggrieved by the judgment in W.P.C. No 33499 of 2004. It is stated in the memorandum of appeal that the learned single judge should not have declared that respondents are seniors to the appellant. It is clarified that learned single judge has not made any such declaration regarding the seniority of the respondents vis-a-vis the appellant. Appeal is disposed of as above. I.A. Nos. 512, 743 and 866 of 2006 also would stand dismissed.

SD/-

K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
Judge

SD/-

ANTONY DOMINIC
Judge

01/06/2007
en

[TRUE COPY]